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Introduction

There are two veteran road safety countermeasures that can now be widely implemented at low cost on new and old vehicles

• Not going too fast
• Being seen

I will be talking about recent developments that could lead to remarkable road safety benefits through these countermeasures
Impact Speed & Fatalities

Myth 1
Most fatal accidents occur at high speeds!

64km/h - a Severe Crash

NCAP crash tests have shown that a head-on crash at 64km/h is a very severe crash.

The vehicle structure and occupant restraint systems must work very well to prevent serious injuries.
29km/h intrusive side impact

Sideways impacts into poles or trees, or being T-boned by a large vehicle can be deadly at quite low speeds.

This crash test is only survivable because of a head-protecting side airbag.

Speeding and Crash Risk

A variety of studies show that the risk of a fatal or casualty crash is highly sensitive to speed.

This curve is for vehicles in a 60 zone.

Exceeding the speed limit by 5km/h doubles the risk of a casualty crash.

Myth 2
Exceeding the speed limit by a small amount does not increase serious crash risk!
This South Australian study found that 20% of casualty crashes would be prevented if all vehicles obeyed speed limits.

The savings for fatal crashes are higher.

Compliance with Speed Limits

- A reduction in low-range speeding would have substantial road safety benefits
- Widespread and effective enforcement of low-range speeding is unlikely
- Other countermeasures are needed to reduce low-range speeding
- Intelligent Speed Assistance (ISA) would assist those motorists who want to obey speed limits - to keep their life, licence or livelihood.
ISA now on sale in Australia!

- **SpeedAlert** - software for PDA or Smart Phone
  - Sydney Metropolitan area released 2006
  - Most major cities and highways in Australia now covered. Has time-based school zone alerts.
  - A low-cost Sat-Nav is now available with SpeedAlert
  - $20 iPhone app!
- **Speedshield** is a passive/active ISA that has been developed by a Melbourne company

**Myth 3**
ISA technology is still experimental
“There is no single vehicle technology remaining to be implemented - neither on the market nor in development - that offers the same safety potential as ISA” European Transport Safety Council, 2006

ISA is an important component of the latest Australian National Road Safety Strategy

Being Seen

- 50% of daytime road accidents involve one road user failing to see another road user.
- Well designed daytime running lights (DRLs) can greatly improve the chances of being seen by other road users.
- LED technology has revolutionised lamp design and gives new opportunities for DRLs.
DRL History

• A major shortcoming of DRL effectiveness studies is that they all involved use of headlights as DRLs. As I will show, *headlights make poor DRLs* (marginal effectiveness may be the reason for the "latitude effect").

• In 1991 an international committee (CIE) recommended white dedicated DRLs with a maximum luminous intensity of 1200 candela. They also criticised headlight DRLs.

• In 2008 the European Commission regulated to require all cars to have bright, dedicated DRLs – that is why most new European models have them in Asia and Australia

Road Design Sight Distances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Speed</th>
<th>Intersection Sight Distance</th>
<th>Overtaking Sight Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40km/h</td>
<td>80m</td>
<td>160m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60km/h</td>
<td>120m</td>
<td>220m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80km/h</td>
<td>170m</td>
<td>340m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100km/h</td>
<td>230m</td>
<td>480m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Signal Range of Vehicle Lights

Effectiveness of DRLs
Potential Savings with good DRLs

- 25% of multi-vehicle daytime fatal accidents
- 20% of multi-vehicle daytime injury accidents

(SWOV 1997)

Potential Savings with good DRLs

Pedestrian Fatalities

- DRL savings (12%)
Concerns about DRLs

There are many myths and misunderstandings

- Increased fuel consumption is not an issue with energy-efficient dedicated DRLs using LEDs
- Concern about “masking” of vulnerable road users has been shown to be unfounded - in any case they benefit most from being able to see approaching vehicles.
- Glare could be a problem at dawn and dusk - this is easily overcome by automatic headlights with an ambient light sensor
- Premature failure of headlights - not an issue with dedicated DRLs

Motorcycle DRLs

- Daytime use of headlights has had mixed success - at best, they can be expected to perform marginally on bright days.
- Most M/C turn signals are barely adequate on bright days
- Proposed that M/C front turn signals be much brighter and operate as DRLs
Recommendations

- Support and encourage the implementation of ISA – digital mapping of speed limits and integration with sat-nav systems
- Address the myths about speeding through public education
- Encourage European-style daytime running lights on cars
- Look at introducing bright yellow turn signal DRLs for motorcycles (and reserve this colour for motorcycles)
- Encourage retrofitting ISA and DRLs to older vehicles